Peer Assessment: Major Workshop Feedback from Participants

Your comments will help presenters revise their manuscript for publication in the conference proceedings and will also assist the Major Workshop Committee in the selection of future workshops.

Workshop title: ________________________________________________

Presenters: __________________________________________________

Please be as specific as possible.

1. Why did you sign up for this workshop? (What interested you; what did you hope to learn?)

2. Did the workshop fulfill your expectations? Why/Why not?

3. Circle your overall rating for the quality of this workshop (as distinct from the lab exercise itself): 1(poor) 2(incomplete) 3(ok) 4(very good) 5(outstanding)
   Comments on what was particularly good or what would have made the workshop more effective:

4. What was the most interesting aspect of this laboratory exercise?

5. What problems, if any, do you see with this exercise?

6. Are you likely to try this lab in your own teaching? ___yes ___no Why/why not?

7. In your opinion, for what instructional level(s) is this lab appropriate? 1 2 3 4 graduate

8. Do you have suggestions for the author on ways to improve the laboratory write-up including general comments or specific information useful for students, instructors, TAs and/or preparatory staff: (Continue on back if needed)

Other comments: