A Comparison of Methods for Enumeration of Bacteria from Natural Water Samples: A Multi-Week Laboratory Project

Kathleen M. Verville

Washington College, Biology Department, 300 Washington Ave., Chestertown MD 21620 USA (kverville2@washcoll.edu)

A common laboratory exercise in microbiology courses is enumeration of bacteria. The process of counting bacteria is often performed by serial dilution of a sample (often a pure culture) followed by spread plating on a nonspecific, generally supportive medium and incubation. Students then perform calculations from colony numbers to determine the viable count. In my microbial ecology class, bacterial enumeration was expanded to a multi-week investigative laboratory project. Students worked in teams to enumerate bacteria from two types of natural waters: groundwater and water from a river that is characterized by high nutrients and suspended sediments to which bacteria attach. Students devised their enumeration plans using procedures broadly described in *Standard Methods for* the Examination of Water and Wastewater. In addition to gaining experience with natural samples, students came to realize that for samples with low bacterial concentrations, such as groundwater, concentration by membrane filtration must be used for the viable count. They gained an appreciation for the roles that media choice and incubation conditions can play in the results. As we discussed their data, they came to understand that a typical viable count (here, a heterotrophic plate count) is based on a definition of viability as the ability to reproduce. To better understand that only some viable bacteria divide to form colonies under given conditions, teams next compared the heterotrophic plate count to a direct total bacterial count. The latter involved sample filtration onto black polycarbonate 0.2 micron membranes, staining with acridine orange, visualization by epifluorescence microscopy, and enumeration of the cells in fields of known size. Although there are other methods that students can use to obtain a direct total bacterial count, the high concentration of particulate matter in our river samples makes epifluorescence microscopy essential. For our river samples, the difference between the heterotrophic plate count and the direct total microbial count was often several orders of magnitude. Students then used these data as the basis for another question: How can the viability of bacterial cells that do not divide on a nutrient medium be determined? They attempt to determine viability of individual cells through tools such as respiratory indicator dyes. Through these experiments, students gained laboratory skills and grew in their ability to design experiments, adapt lab procedures, and evaluate methodology and data in a way that is truly analytical.

Link to Original Poster

http://www.ableweb.org/volumes/vol-35/?art=64

Mission, Review Process & Disclaimer

The Association for Biology Laboratory Education (ABLE) was founded in 1979 to promote information exchange among university and college educators actively concerned with teaching biology in a laboratory setting. The focus of ABLE is to improve the undergraduate biology laboratory experience by promoting the development and dissemination of interesting, innovative, and reliable laboratory exercises. For more information about ABLE, please visit http://www.ableweb.org/.

Papers published in *Tested Studies for Laboratory Teaching: Peer-Reviewed Proceedings of the Conference of the Association for Biology Laboratory Education* are evaluated and selected by a committee prior to presentation at the conference, peerreviewed by participants at the conference, and edited by members of the ABLE Editorial Board.

Citing This Article

Verville, K.M. 2014. A Comparison of Methods for Enumeration of Bacteria from Natural Water Samples: A Multi-Week Laboratory Project. Pages 476-477 in *Tested Studies for Laboratory Teaching*, Volume 35 (K. McMahon, Editor). Proceedings of the 35th Conference of the Association for Biology Laboratory Education (ABLE), 477 pages. http://www.ableweb.org/volumes/vol-35/?art=64

Compilation © 2014 by the Association for Biology Laboratory Education, ISBN 1-890444-17-0. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

ABLE strongly encourages individuals to use the exercises in this proceedings volume in their teaching program. If this exercise is used solely at one's own institution with no intent for profit, it is excluded from the preceding copyright restriction, unless otherwise noted on the copyright notice of the individual chapter in this volume. Proper credit to this publication must be included in your laboratory outline for each use; a sample citation is given above.